

Effective learning is accompanied by high dimensional & efficient representations of neural activity

Evelyn Tang¹, Marcelo Mattar², Chad Giusti³, Sharon Thompson-Schill², and Danielle S. Bassett²
 1 – MPI for Dynamics and Self-Organization, 2 – University of Pennsylvania, 3 – University of Delaware



How do we map values onto objects when learning?

Cats
Dogs

Activity in lateral prefrontal cortex of monkeys reflects animal type [1]

How does this mental space and new mapping get organized?

When we have more categories?

Neural responses can be described in spaces of different effective dimension

Low dimensional
High dimensional

High dimensional representations can contain more information

- ### Questions
- Study organization of neural activation (dimension) in whole-brain patterns from fMRI
 - How is neural activity represented during learning?
 - What organization is used by a fast learner?

Method: dimension estimation

Given three categories (shapes): ○ △ ☆
 Assign binary labels (blue or red): ● ▲ ★

1D:
2D:

Their separability (over different assignments) estimates the dimension [2]

In a value learning experiment

BOLD activation

Region A
Region B
Region C

Whole-brain parcellation of 83 regions

Computer-generated shapes assigned dollar values [3]

\$1 \$2 \$3 \$4
\$5 \$6 \$7 \$8
\$9 \$10 \$11 \$12

time

Adults learned values through feedback

3 training sessions a day, over 4 days

Trial t
Trial t+1

2,500 ms
250 ms

DAY 1 DAY 2 DAY 3 DAY 4
#trials 396 792 1188 1584

Neural responses to each shape form a geometric representation

Use a general linear model to obtain responses to each shape

time series = $\beta_1 X$ + $\beta_2 X$ + ...

Haemodynamic response function

Response to [shape] Response to [shape]

Geometric representation

Region B
Region A
Region C

For n categories:
 2^n ways to assign binary labels

Due to averaging over hyperplanes, method can be robust to noise

Fast learners have higher dimensional hence more easily distinguishable representations

DAY 1 DAY 2 DAY 3 DAY 4

% correct

Scan number

Separability dimension Day 4

Response accuracy end Day 1

$r = 0.56$
 $p < 0.001$

Monotonic relation to physical dimension

Positive correlation between response accuracy and dimension of neural activity across subjects [4]

Scrambled labels: null model and embedding dimension

Corr btw dimension and accuracy

Corr btw dimension and accuracy

Number of stimuli out of 12

Fast learners have **higher stimuli dimension** and **lower embedding dimension** simultaneously – overall efficient with large ratio of information to resources used

Representations of varying efficiency

Stimuli: ○ △ ☆

Most efficient
Least efficient

Stimuli dimension ~ 3
Embedding dimension < 2

Stimuli dimension < 3
Embedding dimension ~ 2

Looking on a finer scale

Virtual lesioning:
Which region when omitted causes largest change in result (correlation of response accuracy with dimension)

Left hippocampus
Right temporal pole

At the voxel-level, some regions also show this result:

Separability dimension on Day 4

Response accuracy end of Day 1

Left ACC
Left V1
Right PFus

- ### Summary
- Fast learners have higher dimensional representations of neural activity
 - Allows objects of different value to be more easily distinguished
 - Fast learners also have smaller embedding dimension, hence more efficient representations with high ratio of information to resources used
 - Identified regional drivers and showed this also occurs at the voxel level in some regions
 - What can we learn about the mental mapping in other cognitive processes?

References & acknowledgements

1. Freedman, Riesenhuber, Poggio & Miller, *Science* 2001
2. Rigotti et al., *Nature* 2013
3. Mattar, Thompson-Schill & Bassett, *Network Neuro* 2017
4. Tang et al., arXiv: 1709.10045

- ONR Young Investigator Award
- NSF CRCNS award BCS-1441502, CAREER award PHY-1554488
- NIMH RC2 MH089983 (REG), R21MH106799, R01MH107703 (TS)